Obligatory Exercise 3 Due date: May 6, 1998 at 1500

Note: These exercises are to be turned in to Petter Edblom. Do not place them in the mail shelf of Stephen Hegner, or you will risk lateness penalties.

All solutions of obligatory exercises must be completed individually. It is not permitted to copy, in whole or in part, the solutions of another and submit those solutions as one's own. Neither is it permitted to develop solutions within groups working together. Discussion of general course concepts is, of course, permitted.

1. Consider the logical operation of Exclusive OR (denoted by ⊕) as defined by the following truth table.

φ1	φ2	$(\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Give left and right Gentzen-style proof rules for this operation. The rules should be both non-weakening and simplifying. In defining "simplifying" in this case, take the definition of the complexity of Exclusive or to be:

Complexity($\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$) = 1 + Complexity(ϕ_1) + Complexity(ϕ_2)

In addition to providing the rule, explain how and why it works.

2. Give a proof, in the Gentzen sequent system G', of the following

 $\{(\neg A \lor B), \neg (C \land \neg A \land \neg B), \neg (D \land \neg C), D\} \vDash (B \lor E)$

Your initial sequent should contain only these formulas; do **not** do any conversion first (*e.g.*, to CNF or to a refutation formulation).

3. Convert the following rules to an equivalent set of Horn clauses, and use positive unit resolution to compute the set of atomic consequences of the resulting set. Show your answer in the form of a resolution graph.

$$\begin{array}{l} \{A_5, \\ A_6, \\ (A_1 \land A_2 \land A_3 \land A_4) \rightarrow (A_9 \land A_8 \land A_7), \\ (A_5 \land A_6) \rightarrow (A_{11} \land A_{12}), \\ (A_9 \land A_7 \land A_{12}) \rightarrow A_1, \\ (A_7 \land A_8 \land A_{12}) \rightarrow A_9, \\ A_{12} \rightarrow (A_2 \land A_3 \land A_9 \land A_4), \\ (A_3 \land A_{11}) \rightarrow A_{10}, \\ (A_7 \land A_{10}) \rightarrow \bot, \\ (A_1 \land A_{11}) \rightarrow \bot \} \end{array}$$

4. Determine whether or not each of the following sets of formulas is equivalent to a set of Horn clauses. In the case that the given set Φ is equivalent to a set of Horn clauses Φ_H , provide such a set Φ_H , together with a justification for the equivalence. If the given set Φ is not equivalent to a set of Horn clauses, give a set of atoms Δ such that $\Phi \cup \Delta$ does not have a least model, and show why no such least model exists.

(a) $\{\neg A \lor B \lor C, \neg B \lor C, \neg C \lor B, A\}$ (b) $\{A \lor B \lor C, \neg B, \neg A \lor C\}$ (c) $\{A \lor B \lor C, \neg B, B\}$ (d) $\{A \lor B \lor C, B \lor C, C\}$ (e) $\{A \lor B, \neg A \lor \neg B\}$