

Distributed Systems (5DV147)

Group communication


Fall 2013

Group communication

Characteristics

- Indirect communication
 - Communication through an intermediary
 - No direct coupling between the sender and the receiver(s)
- Group communication
 - Messages sent to a group of processes and delivered to all members of the group

Groups (of processes)




- One-to-many communication
 - Provide reliability and ordering guarantees
- Group management functionality
 - Maintain membership
 - Detect failure of member(s)

Types of groups

Closed or open

A group is *closed* if only members of the group can multicast to it


A group is *open* if processes outside the group may send to it



Overlapping or non-overlapping

In *overlapping* groups, processes may be members of multiple groups

In *non-overlapping* groups, processes may belong to at most one group



Group membership management

- Interface for group membership changes
 - Create and destroy groups, add or remove members to a group
- Failure detection
 - Mark processes as suspected or unsuspected and remove those processes that have (suspected) failed
 - Notify members of group membership changes
 - Processes that join or leave
 - Perform group address expansion
 - From group id to individual group members (current)

Multicast

Receive versus Deliver


Receive: message has arrived and will be processed

Deliver: message is allowed to reach upper layer

Unreliable (basic) multicast (using reliable unicast)

- Send (unicast) to each other process in the group!
- What if sender fails halfway through?

Incoming
messages



Reliable multicast

Integrity

Messages delivered at most once

Validity

If a correct process multicasts message m , it will eventually deliver m

Agreement

If a correct process delivers m , then all correct processes in the group will eventually deliver m

Reliable multicast algorithm

- Use basic multicast to send to all (including self)
- When basic multicast delivers, check if message has been received before
 1. If it has, do nothing further
 2. If not, and sender is not own process
Basic multicast message to others
 3. Deliver message to upper layer

Integrity? Validity? Agreement? Yes!

Insane amounts of traffic? Yes! Every message is sent `sizeof(group)` to each process!

A single message will be sent 100 times if we just have 10 processes

Message orderings

Message orderings

1. Unordered
2. FIFO
3. Total
4. Causal
5. Hybrid orderings such as Total-Causal & Total-FIFO

FIFO ordering

Intuition

- Messages from a process should be delivered in the order in which they were sent

Solution

- Sender numbers the messages, receivers hold back those that have been received out of order

Process P1

$S(p_1, g) \rightarrow$ # of messages
that p has sent to the
group

$R(p_2, g) \rightarrow$ sequence # of
latest message that p_1
has delivered from p_2
that was sent to g

$R(p_3, g)$



n members of g

$R(p_n, g)$

FO-multicast

Send $S(p_i, g)$ with message

B-multicast message

Increment by $S(p_i, g) - 1$

FO-deliver

If $S = R(p_j, g) + 1$

FO-deliver and set $R(p_j, g) = S$

If $S > R(p_j, g) + 1$

Place in hold-back queue until

$S = R(p_j, g) + 1$

Total ordering

Intuition

Messages from all processes should get a (unique) group wide ordering number, so all processes can deliver messages in a single order!

Mental pitfall: the order itself does not have to make any sense, as long as all processes abide by it!


Implementing total ordering

Sequencer

- Simple
- Central server (= single point of failure)

ISIS-algorithm

- Not as simple
- Distributed
- Study on your own!



Sequencer

- Sequencer is logically external to the group
- Messages are sent to all members, including sequencer
 - Initially, have no “ordering” number
- Sequencer maps message identifiers to ordering numbers
 - Multicasts mapping to group
 - Once a message has an ordering number, it can be delivered according to that number

1. Algorithm for group member p

On initialization: $r_g := 0$;

To TO-multicast message m to group g

1 $B\text{-multicast}(g \cup \{\text{sequencer}(g)\}, \langle m, i \rangle)$; Send the to g and sequencer

On $B\text{-deliver}(\langle m, i \rangle)$ with $g = \text{group}(m)$ Wait until right time to deliver (given by

2 Place $\langle m, i \rangle$ in hold-back queue; sequence # from sequencer)

On $B\text{-deliver}(m_{\text{order}} = \langle \text{“order”}, i, S \rangle)$ with $g = \text{group}(m_{\text{order}})$

wait until $\langle m, i \rangle$ in hold-back queue and $S = r_g$;

4 $TO\text{-deliver } m$; // (after deleting it from the hold-back queue)
 $r_g = S + 1$;

2. Algorithm for sequencer of g

On initialization: $s_g := 0$;

On $B\text{-deliver}(\langle m, i \rangle)$ with $g = \text{group}(m)$

3 $B\text{-multicast}(g, \langle \text{“order”}, i, s_g \rangle)$; multicast sequential # to g
 $s_g := s_g + 1$; sequence # is totally ordered

Sequencer – final notes

- Note, again, that the ordering is completely up to the sequencer
 - It could collect all messages for half an hour and then assign numbers according to how many a's there are in the message
 - While annoying to use, this is still a total order, and all processes will have to follow it!

Causal ordering

Intuition

Captures causal (cause and effect) relationships via happened-before ordering

Vector clocks ensure that replies are delivered after the message that they are replying to

Hybrid orderings

Causal order is not unique

- Concurrent messages

...neither is FIFO

- FIFO only guarantees per process not inter-process

Total order only guarantees a unique order

- Combine with others to get stronger delivery semantics!

Summary

- Group communication
 - One-to-many, indirect communication
- Different types of groups
 - Open, closed, overlapping, and non-overlapping
- Reliability in group communication
 - Integrity, validity, and agreement
- Group membership management
 - changes, failure detection, notification of membership changes, group address expansion

Summary

- Multicast, reliable and unreliable
- Message ordering
 - The ordering in delivering messages is necessary in some cases
 - Ordering is expensive in terms of delivery latency and bandwidth consumption
 - FIFO – order messages from each sender
 - Causal – order messages across senders
 - Total – same message ordering on all recipients

Next Lecture

Consensus