

5DV119 Introduction to Database Management Spring 2015

Class Exercises on Normalization

The following questions will be solved in class, as illustrations of techniques for normalizing relational database schemata

- Given is a relational database schema on ocean-going shipping. The schema consists of a single relation R , with attributes as follows:

$R(\text{Ship_ID}, \text{Ship_Name}, \text{Ship_Type}, \text{Ship_Country}, \text{Voyage_ID},$
 $\text{Start_Date}, \text{End_Date}, \text{Cargo}, \text{Port}, \text{Dock_Date})$

The following functional dependencies (FDs) hold.

$\{\text{Ship_ID}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Ship_Name}, \text{Ship_Type}, \text{Ship_Country}\}.$
 $\{\text{Ship_Name}, \text{Ship_Country}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Ship_ID}\}.$
 $\{\text{Voyage_ID}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Ship_ID}, \text{Cargo}, \text{Start_Date}, \text{End_Date}\}$
 $\{\text{Ship_ID}, \text{Dock_Date}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Voyage_ID}, \text{Port}\}.$

- Find a canonical cover for this set of FDs.
- Identify the candidate keys of this schema.
- Using the decomposition algorithm, construct a lossless decomposition of this schema into BCNF.
- Using the synthesis algorithm, construct a lossless, dependency-preserving decomposition of this schema into 3NF. If possible, find such a decomposition which is also fully independent. If no such decomposition is possible, explain why.
- Explain why there is no lossless, dependency-preserving decomposition of this schema into BCNF.

To simplify the presentation, use the following table of one-letter representations for the attributes.

Attribute	Abbr.	Comment
Ship_ID	<i>S</i>	
Ship_Name	<i>N</i>	
Ship_Type	<i>T</i>	
Ship_Country	<i>L</i>	<i>L</i> = Land
Voyage_ID	<i>V</i>	
Start_Date	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i> = Beginn
End_Date	<i>E</i>	
Cargo	<i>C</i>	
Port	<i>P</i>	
Dock_Date	<i>D</i>	

5DV119, Class Exercises on Normalization, page 2

2. Given is the relational database schema $R[ABCDEFGH]$, constrained by the FDs in
- $$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{AB \rightarrow CDEF, CD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow DG, DEG \rightarrow F\}.$$
- (a) Find a canonical cover for \mathcal{F}_2 .
- (b) Using the synthesis algorithm, find a dependency-preserving 3NF representation of $\langle R, \mathcal{F}_2 \rangle$.
- (c) Find all keys for \mathcal{F}_2 .
- (d) Find a lossless extension to the answer of (b).
- (e) Indicate which of the relations in the answer to (d) are not in BCNF, and explain why.
- (f) Show that there is no lossless and fully independent of $\langle R, \mathcal{F}_2 \rangle$ into BCNF. In other words, show that the BCNF-decomposition algorithm cannot yield such a decomposition. (Hint: Look for a small subschema with three attributes which is known not to admit such a representation.)
- (g) Determine whether or not the synthesis found in (b) is fully independent.
3. Given is the relational database schema $R[ABCDEFG]$, constrained by the FDs in
- $$\mathcal{F}_3 = \{AB \rightarrow CDEF, CD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, EF \rightarrow AB\}.$$
- Repeat (a)-(e) of Problem 2, this time for \mathcal{F}_3 .